

REMARKS

[0003] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the claims of the application. Claims 22-42 are presently pending. Claims amended herein are 22, 25-29, 32-36, 39-42. Claims withdrawn or cancelled herein are 1-21. No new claims were added.

Statement of Substance of Interview

[0004] Examiner Le Nguyen graciously spoke with me—the undersigned representative for the Applicant—on May 10, 2007. Applicant greatly appreciates her willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to both of us in our common goal of an expedited prosecution of this patent application.

[0005] During the interview, we discussed how the claims differed from the cited art, namely “UNIX”. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections and in the interest of expediting prosecution, I also proposed several possible clarifying amendments.

[0006] I understood Examiner Nguyen to tentatively agree that independent claim 22 would be patentable over the cited art if amended as discussed during the interview, to further clarify the claimed “displaying on the single computer only one of the remote process enabled workspace environments as active at a time.” I also understood her to agree that dependent claim 26 would be allowable based on amendments made to claim 22. Examiner Nguyen indicated that she would need to review the cited art and/or do another search.

[0007] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during the interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0008] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the Examiner. I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative for the Applicant—so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any outstanding issues quickly and efficiently over the phone.

[0009] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works great for us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information may be found on the last page of this response.

Formal Matters

[0010] This section addresses any formal matters (e.g., objections) raised by the Examiner.

Specification

[0011] The Examiner objects to the specification for not making reference to items 49 and 53 of Figure 1, and items 220a, 220b, and 220c in Figure 3. Herein, Applicant amends these paragraphs, as shown above, to correct the informalities noted by the Examiner.

Drawings

[0012] The Examiner objects to the specification for not mentioning items 220a-c in Figure 3. Even though this referencing has been corrected in the specification, the Applicant submits a replacement drawing for Figure 3 to correct typographical errors in items 220b and 220c in Figure 3.

Claim Amendments and Additions

[0013] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 22, 25-29, 32-36 and 39-42 herein.

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 112

[0014] Claims 26, 27, 33, 34, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd ¶. In light of the amendments presented herein, as well as the discussion during the above discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Claim Rejections under §§ 102 and/or 103

[0015] Claims 22-25, 28-32, 35-39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and claims 26, 27, 33, 34, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In light of the amendments presented herein and the decisions/agreements reached during the above-

discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Dependent Claims


[0016] In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for the same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Conclusion

[0017] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the **Examiner is urged to contact me before issuing a subsequent Action.** Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 05/25/2009

By: 

Beatrice Koempel-Thomas
Reg. No. 58,213
(509) 324-9256 x259
bea@leehayes.com
www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Carly Bokarica
(509) 324-9256 x264
carly@leehayes.com